

### Review of Performance Audit Report

Name of the Institution	:	N.C.College of Engineering Israna Panipat	Sub-component	:	
Name of Performance Auditor of the institution	:	Prof. K.K. Jain			
Name of Data Auditor of the institution	:	Dr. Pradeep Kumar			
<b>Date of completion of Review</b>	:				
<b>CRITERIA</b>					
	Rating (A, B, or C)	Comments to assist NPIU in handling the report. <sup>1</sup>			
i. Completeness	A	Both the performance Auditors and Data Auditors reports are complete. Remarks are to the point and supporting evidence is cited extensively by referring to Annexures (though the Annexures are not attached to the-report  In Table 1, item 6-percentages are not given			
ii. Consistency and relevance	B	In Tables3 and 4 the remarks and grades do not match. The remarks are generally positive, but the grade given is 2, which should actually be 1 going by the content of the remarks. The same applies to the grade awarded for four funds , incentives to faculty, improvements in faculty development, etc.			
iii. Details and specificity	A	Remarks are supported by relevant details and reference to appropriate Appendices			
iv. Meticulousness	A	Report is typed in electronic form. Remarks are brief and to the point. Vague statements and remarks of general nature are largely avoided			
v. Feedback clarity	A	Feedback to the Institution is summarized at the end of report in the form of "Statement of shortcomings" and "Recommendations for Mentors". The areas where special attention is required have been indicated			
<b>Overall rating for the report</b>	A				

<sup>1</sup> The Evaluators should indicate changes needed to be made to the report before it can be sent back to the institution. For good reports (rated 'A'), these can be sent to the institution formally as a completed report. For average reports (rated 'B'), the evaluators should provide guidance on what needs to be done: such as providing more evidence in particular sections, clarifying some points, or some quick editing of the report. For poor reports (rated 'C'), the performance auditor may need to substantially revise the report, or, if too much time has passed, conduct the audit visit again and write the report.